

<b><i>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</i></b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	10/594,674 Examiner PHUONG HUYNH	MOSSALAYI ET AL. <b>Art Unit</b> 1644
<b>All Participants:</b>	<b>Status of Application:</b> <i>Allowance</i>	
(1) <u>PHUONG HUYNH</u> .	(3) _____.	
(2) <u>Leonard C Mitchard</u> .	(4) _____.	
<b>Date of Interview:</b> <u>22 July 2011</u>	<b>Time:</b> _____	
<b>Type of Interview:</b> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Telephonic <input type="checkbox"/> Video Conference <input type="checkbox"/> Personal (Copy given to: <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant's representative)		
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No If Yes, provide a brief description: .		
<b>Part I.</b> Rejection(s) discussed:  Claims discussed: <i>59, 60, 64, 65 and 66</i>  Prior art documents discussed:		
<b>Part II.</b> SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: <i>Applicants agreeded to the changes set forth in the Examiner's Amendment.</i>		
<b>Part III.</b> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. <input type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.		
<i>/Phuong Huynh/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1644</i>		
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)		